SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S206492 B232998 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. STEWART (NICOLE A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206570 B237275 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SHERF (ERIC) v. RUSNAK/WESTLAKE

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206575 A126390 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HENDRICKSON (JOHN REESE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206609 B225763 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. CALLES (BRYAN)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206667 H036787 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CIMIENTOS (NICOLAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206720 C068046 Third Appellate District **IN RE ALONZO J.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206722 B232546 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. PALMER (LESTER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206752 B233762 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 CLEVELAND (KENNETH) v. JOHNSON (ROBERT V.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206775 B232986 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. DOMINGUEZ (EVODIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 15, 2013.

S206793 G045802 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MALAVASI (DENNIS PATRICK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206801 B231927 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HAMMOND (IRMA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206803 B236437 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. AGDAIAN (OGANIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206804 B243637 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 AGDAIAN (OGANES) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S206806 C070386 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ASHER (CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 19, 2013.

S045423

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ-FUENTES (EDGARDO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Corey J. Robins's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 14, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

S052210

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ, JR., (JERRY)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's reply brief is granted to March 5, 2013. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S075725

PEOPLE v. JONES (KIONGOZI)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 19, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 19, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S099770

PEOPLE v. COOPER (LEON CHAUNCEY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Karen Hamilton's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 25, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 26, 2013. After that

date, no further extension will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S101247

PEOPLE v. VARGAS (EDUARDO DAVID)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Russell S. Babcock's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 8, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 8, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S113421

PEOPLE v. HARDY (WARREN JUSTIN)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan K. Shaler's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 26, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S113653

PEOPLE v. HOYT (RYAN JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Roger Ian Teich's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by May 24, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 70 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S114228

PEOPLE v. DUONG (ANH THE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 18, 2013. After that date, only three further

extensions totaling about 200 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 5, 2013.

S133510

PEOPLE v. MICKEL (ANDREW HAMPTON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lawrence A. Gibbs's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 13, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 13, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S135272

PEOPLE v. DWORAK (DOUGLAS EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane Nichols's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 1, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 5, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S142857

PEOPLE v. DUNLAP (DEAN ERIC)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by mid-September 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only nine further extensions totaling about 540 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. HILL (IVAN J.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 18, 2013.

S155510

PRINCE, JR., (CLEOPHUS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gary B. Wells's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 10, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted.

S167108

WATSON (PAUL GREGORY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 15, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S173896

LINDBERG (GUNNER JAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robin Urbanski's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 7, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 8, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

HAMILTON (BERNARD LEE) ON HC

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sara M. Cohbra's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 30, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 230 additional days are contemplated.

S179795

FARLEY (RICHARD WADE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Huy T. Luong's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 13, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S200475 B225932 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

WESTERN STATES
PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION
v. STATE BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION

Extension of time denied

The application of respondent for extension of time to February 21, 2013, to file the reply brief is hereby denied.

S200923 A125557/A126827 First Appellate District, Div. 1 DURAN (SAM) v. U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 26, 2013.

S202483 B236337 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GRAY (STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to February 25, 2013.

S204221 C063863 Third Appellate District

PARATRANSIT, INC. v. UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD (MEDEIROS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 29, 2013.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S204582 H036162 Sixth Appellate District

LIRA (JOHNNY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 30, 2013. No further extensions are contemplated.

S204622 B237271 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 IN RE I.J.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to January 22, 2013. No further extensions will be granted.

S204795

VO (LOI TAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Moona Nandi's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 26, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 19, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 250 additional days are contemplated.

S205435

HARPER (BOBBY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 13, 2013.

S205568 F063023 Fifth Appellate District

FAHLEN (MARK T.) v. SUTTER CENTRAL VALLEY HOSPITALS

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 4, 2013.

No further extensions of time will be contemplated.

S205750

GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Holly D. Wilkens's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 3, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 4, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 215 additional days are contemplated.

S206006

PARKER (GERALD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Holly D. Wilkens's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 16, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

S207899

A131271 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. MUELLER (KEVIN SCOTT)

Application to file over-length brief granted

The application of appellant for permission to file the petition for review in excess of 8,400 words is hereby granted.

S178464

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SANTIAGO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark E. Cutler is hereby appointed to represent appellant Santiago Martinez for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S206072 B235289 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (JOSE ANGEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S181004 B199571 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 HARRIS (WYNONA) v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA

Order filed

The undersigned hereby recuses himself from any further participation in this matter.

S207517 YABLONSKY (JOHN) v.
DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS &

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

S206592

ERWIN ON DISCIPLINE

REHABILITATION

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN, State Bar Number 198280, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN is suspended from the practice of law for six months (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on February 20, 2012).
- 2. MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 4, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. The costs must be paid with his membership fees for the year 2014. If MATTHEW JOHN ERWIN fails to pay the installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

HANNON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EUGENE MARTIN HANNON, State Bar Number 85632, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

EUGENE MARTIN HANNON must make restitution jointly to Ty Preston Barber, Cameron Barber, and Trevor Magno in the amount of \$27,500.66 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 18, 2007. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

EUGENE MARTIN HANNON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S206618

HARRISON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN MICHAEL HARRISON, State Bar Number 144964, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOHN MICHAEL HARRISON must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) David Quinones in the amount of \$3,750.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 23, 2009:
- Jane Petrozzi in the amount of \$4,650.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 14, 2009;
- (3) Jesse Valera in the amount of \$2,255,00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 24, 2009;
- (4) Jeffrey Fletcher in the amount of \$1,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 1, 2009;
- (5) Daniel and Imelda Donaldson in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 4, 2009;
- (6) Daniel and Imelda Donaldson in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 2, 2009;
- (7) Kimberly Gifford in the amount of \$795.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 27, 2009;
- (8) Kimberly Gifford in the amount of \$400.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 5, 2009;
- (9) Lori Beth Thomas in the amount of \$1,800.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 24, 2009;
- (10) Bobby Flenoid in the amount of \$750.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 6, 2009;
- (11) Melvin and Melinda Daniels in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 1, 2009;

- (12) Melvin and Melinda Daniels in the amount of \$1,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 10, 2009;
- (13) Melvin and Melinda Daniels in the amount of \$500.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 12, 2009;
- (14) Florence Chamblis in the amount of \$1,269.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 29, 2009;
- (15) John Karp in the amount of \$300.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 23, 2009:
- (16) Brad Ingram in the amount of \$1,725.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 1, 2009;
- (17) Brad Ingram in the amount of \$862.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 26, 2009;
- (18) Harold Richmond in the amount of \$1,725.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 1, 2009;
- (19) Harold Richmond in the amount of \$800.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 1, 2009;
- (20) Harold Richmond in the amount of \$925.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 16, 2009; and

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JOHN MICHAEL HARRISON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S206626

JONES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN EDMUND JONES, State Bar Number 69051, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN EDMUND JONES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

MULLALY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY, State Bar Number 185716, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 2, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) ANDREW PIERCE MULLALY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S206635

SANZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RENE WILLIAM SANZ, State Bar Number 175351, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

RENE WILLIAM SANZ must make restitution to Hilario Tenorio in the amount of \$250.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 24, 2010, within 30 days following the effective date of this order or within 30 days following payment by the Client Security Fund, whichever is later. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

RENE WILLIAM SANZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

SCALISI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PETER WILLIAM SCALISI, State Bar Number 90131, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. PETER WILLIAM SCALISI must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 3, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PETER WILLIAM SCALISI has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PETER WILLIAM SCALISI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If PETER WILLIAM SCALISI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S206646

WALL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES ALLEN WALL, State Bar Number 197981, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAMES ALLEN WALL must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Maudine Gibson in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 18, 2011; and
- (2) Rebecca Yost in the amount of \$2,490 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 13, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JAMES ALLEN WALL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,062)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)